because its axial velocity is very small. The vapor, being **REFERENCES** surrounded by a liquid film, is isothermal at its saturation temperature. At high qualities, when the continuous liquid film finally breaks, the remaining liquid is concentrated at the stagnation points of the secondary flow (3 and 9 o'clock) and the heat-transfer coefficient at other points decreases to the pure vapor coefficient. If this model is valid, we have the somewhat unusual situation of a phenomenon (the radial acceleration, in this case) effective only for that portion of the process where it is helpful and ineffective where it would be damaging.

- 1. A. YUDOVICH, Forced convection boiling in a coil, MS. Thesis, Oklahoma State University (1966).
- 2. R. A. **SEBAN** and E. F. **MCLAUGHLIN,** Heat transfer in tube coils with laminar and turbulent flow, *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer* 6, 381-395 (1963).
- 3. J. A. KOUTSKY and R. J. ADLER, Minimization of axial dispersion by use of secondary flow in helical tubes, Can. *J. Chem.* Engng 42, 239-246 (1964).

Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer. Vol. 10, pp. 401-402. Pergamon Press Ltd. 1967. Printed in Great Britain

ON THE RADIATION SLIP BETWEEN ABSORBING-EMITTING REGIONS WITH HEAT SOURCES

JOHN R. HOWELL

Lewis Research Center, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Cleveland, Ohio

(Received 10 *January* 1966 *and in revisedform 20 September 1966)*

SOME practical systems in which regions with sharply differing properties and energy sources are exchanging radiation are being extensively studied. Examples are "seeded" layers with flow and the various gaseous-core nuclear propulsion systems. Examination of these situations show that a discontinuity in temperature may exist in certain cases at the boundary between the regions. This is analogous in some ways to the often-noted temperature jump at the boundary ofa gas abutted by **a** solid surface [l-3]. Such slips occur only where radiation is considered independent of conduction or where very low densities are present in the gas.

Reaion L Region R Absorption coefficient κ . Absorption coefficient, K₂ Volumetric energy source, Q Volumetric energy source, Co Region

The magnitude of the emissive power slip at a gas-gas interface will now be derived for a simple system.

Consider two semi-intinite regions as shown in Fig. 1. Fach region has a given absorption coefficient κ and volumetric heat source strength Q . For simplicity, let Q be a different constant value throughout each region. Then, for an infinitesimal volume element dV_1 wholly within region L, the energy emitted must be equal to the radiation absorbed by the element plus the energy generated within it, or

$$
4 \int_{\lambda=0}^{1} \kappa_{\lambda,1} e_{\lambda,1} dV_1 d\lambda
$$

=
$$
\int_{\lambda=0}^{8} \kappa_{\lambda,1} \left[\int_{\nu_{L}} 4\kappa_{\lambda,e} e_{\lambda,e} F_{e-dV_1} \exp(-\int_{0}^{L} \kappa_{\lambda,e} dr) dV_e \right]
$$

+
$$
\int_{R} 4\kappa_{\lambda,e} e_{\lambda,e} F_{e-dV_1} \exp(-\int_{0}^{L} \kappa_{\lambda,e} dr) dV_e \right] d\lambda dr
$$

+
$$
Q_1 dV_1
$$

where e_{λ} is the local black spectral emissive power of the medium, F_{e-dV_1} is a shape factor between the emitting element dV_e and the absorbing element dV_1 , and r is the radial position in spherical coordinates. The part of the equation within brackets represents the radiation incident on dV_1 in the wavelength interval $d\lambda$. Multiplying by the absorption coefficient $\kappa_{\lambda, 1}$ and the mean path length through dV_1 , denoted by dr , and then integrating over λ gives the total energy absorbed by dV_1 . If $\kappa_{\lambda, 1}$ is taken to be independent of wavelength and is a constant within the region, then equation (1) becomes

$$
e_1 dV_1 = A + \frac{Q_1 dV_1}{4\kappa_1} \tag{2}
$$

FIG. 1. Semi-infinite regions *R* and L.

$$
A = \left[\int_{V_L} \kappa_1 e_e F_{e-dV_1} \exp\left(-\kappa_1 r\right) dV_e + \int_{V_R} \kappa_2 e_e F_{e-dV_1} \exp\left(-\kappa_2 r\right) dV_e\right] dr
$$

and A is proportional to the total energy incident on dV_1 .

A similar equation for a volume element dV_2 at the bound-

ary but wholly within region 2 is

$$
e_2 dV_2 = A' + \frac{Q_2 dV_2}{4\kappa_2}.
$$
 (3)

The integral term in equation (3) is identical with that in equation (2) except that the exchange factor F_{e-dV_1} is replaced by F_{e-dV_2} . However, these are essentially equal because the elements dV_1 and dV_2 are adjacent. This simply reflects the fact that the total flux passing through a unit volume is a continuous function as the interface between the regions is crossed.

Subtracting equation (3) from equation (2) gives the slip condition \sim \sim \sim -1

$$
(e_1 - e_2)_{\text{boundary}} = \frac{1}{4} \left[\left(\frac{Q_1}{\kappa_1} \right) - \left(\frac{Q_2}{\kappa_2} \right) \right]. \tag{4}
$$

From examination of this equation, it appears that a slip in emissive power will always occur between the regions unless one of two conditions is met: either no sources or sinks occur in *either* region, or the ratio of source strength **REFERENCES** to the absorption coefficient is the same in each region. A special case of the latter condition is when both regions 1. R. G. DEISSLER, Diffusion approximation for thermal
A special case of the latter condition is when both regions and in region approximation in gases with jump are identical, which, as expected, gives no slip.
 $\frac{1 \text{}}{5}$ radiation in gases with jump boundary boundary condition, $\frac{1}{2}$. Heat Transfer 86, 240–246 (1964).

Following the methods outlined in [1], the second-order 2. M. A. HEASLET and R. F. WARMING, Radiative transport diffusion solution can be used to derive the jump for the same case as equation (4). This approach gives

$$
(e_1 - e_2)_{\text{boundary diffusion}} = \frac{3}{8} \left[\left(\frac{Q_1}{\kappa_1} \right) - \left(\frac{Q_2}{\kappa_2} \right) \right] \tag{5}
$$

where the difference between equations (4) and (5) is obviously HARTN
in the coefficient. It is interesting that a first-order diffusion (1964). in the coefficient. It is interesting that a first-order diffusion

where solution predicts no discontinuity. Retaining the secondorder terms allows inclusion of the effects of heat sources in the gas, and, hence, a slip is predicted. The larger slip for the diffusion case reflects the assumption inherent in diffusion solutions that the radiant flux is proportional to the gradient
in emissive power even near boundaries.

For a gas that absorbs little radiation compared with that energy generated internally, the integrals on the right-hand side of equation (1) drop out. Fquation (4) is then obtained directly if the absorption coeflicients for each region are replaced by their Planck mean values, given by

$$
\kappa_p = \frac{\int\limits_{0}^{\infty} \kappa_{\lambda} e_{\lambda} d\lambda}{e}.
$$
 (6)

It does not appear possible, however, to derive a general slip condition for nongrey gases by using mean absorption coefficients except in these limiting cases. This is usually the case in spectrally affected problems.

For problems in which radiation is the dominant mode of energy transfer, the existence of this slip in gas emissive power at the interface between regions must not be over-

-
- and wall temperature slip in an absorbing planar medium, *ht. J. Heat Mass Transfer 8.919-994 (1965).*
- $(e_1 e_2)_{\text{boundary diffusion}} = \frac{3}{8} \left[\left(\frac{Q_1}{\kappa_1} \right) \left(\frac{Q_2}{\kappa_2} \right) \right]$ (5) 3. R. D. CESS, The interaction of thermal radiation with conduction and convection heat transfer, in *Advances in Heat Transfer* edited by T. F. *Heat Transfer, edited by T. F. IRVINE, JR. and J. P.* HARTNETT, Vol. 1, pp. 1–50. Academic Press, New York